

IBSA-STUDIES IN MANAGEMENT AND INNOVATION

EDITED BY HELGA MEYER, REBECCA PORTERFIELD, REINHOLD ROTH

| Volume

4

# MANAGING SMES INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS STRATE- GIES – THE CASE OF EAST TENNESSEE

REINHOLD ROTH | JON L. SMITH (EDS.)



**Kellner**  
Bremen • Boston

# **Managing SMES International Business Strategies – The Case of East Tennessee**

Edited by  
Reinhold Roth • Jon L. Smith

With contribution by  
Jon L. Smith • Victor Kimmel •  
Alain Bridwell • Audrey Depelteau •  
Andrew J. Czuchry • David Roach •  
Emily van Dop • Michael Lehrfeld

**IBSA-Studies in Management and Innovation  
Volume 4**



---

# **Managing SMES International Business Strategies – The Case of East Tennessee**

Edited by

Reinhold Roth • Jon L. Smith

With contribution by

Jon L. Smith • Victor Kimmel • Alain Bridwell • Audrey Depelteau •

Andrew J. Czuchry • David Roach • Emily van Dop • Michael Lehrfeld

## **IBSA-Studies in Management and Innovation Volume 4**

---

© **2017 by Kellner PublishingHouse • Bremen | Boston**

*Contact:* Kellner PublishingHouse

St.-Pauli-Deich 3 | 28199 Bremen, Germany

Fon +49 (0) 4 21 - 77 8 66 | Fax +49 (0) 4 21 - 70 40 58

sachbuch@kellnerverlag.de | [www.kellnerverlag.de](http://www.kellnerverlag.de)

*Setting:* Regina Ringshausen

*Coverdesign:* Designbüro Möhlenkamp, Bremen

*Cover Pictures:* Denise Schweers

ISBN 978-3-95651-143-1

---

## Acknowledgements

2017 is the 20th anniversary of long standing relationships between East Tennessee State University (ETSU) and Hochschule Bremen City University of Applied Sciences (HSB). In 1998 both institutions acted as founding members of the Transatlantic Business School Alliance (TABSA), a consortium of seven business schools in the U.S. and Europe.

At the beginning of the 21st century, the ETSU College of Business and Technology and the HSB School of International Business have carried out a variety of research projects in the field of SMEs and entrepreneurship, among them, from 2001 – 2003, the project “International Quality Networks IQN”, where ETSU contributed an essential part of developing an International MBA of the HSB in the framework of the International Business School Alliance (IBSA), including the whole content for the specialization “International Entrepreneurship”. As part of these collaborative efforts, two international conferences organized by ETSU and HSB were held to share their results; the International Conference on Entrepreneurship and Business Incubation, 2003 in Bremen, and the Conference on Global Entrepreneurship and Business Incubation, 2005 in Atlanta. In 2015, ETSU and HSB renewed their close relationship by developing a new research project “Internationalization of SMEs”.

The partnership between the universities continues to produce significant contributions. Reciprocal teaching assignments, student exchanges, joint research efforts, university – industry partnership and consulting opportunities have become an important part of the intellectual landscape of ETSU and HSB. A cadre of dedicated faculty members are working together to create a unique sustainable academic environment, whereby the colleagues of our several universities see themselves as members of a single, global faculty. By building upon one another’s strengths and cultural insights, the member universities are developing a common faculty model of “Integrated Diversity”. Among these many faculty members who have contributed to this effort, we are extremely indebted to those who were there at the beginning of this effort including, in alphabetical order:

Andy J. Czuchry, Dennis R. Depew, Peter Falk, Stephan Form, Linda Garceau, James A. Hales, Peter Laudi, Fred Mackara, Helga Meyer, Manfred Michel, Martha Pointer, David Roach, Dietwart Runte, Allan D. Spitzer, Karen Tarnhoff, Armin Varnaz and Larry R. White.

This particular research project, “Managing SMEs Internationalization Strategies”, was originally initiated by Jon L. Smith and Helga Meyer. With the guidance of ETSU’s Bureau of Business and Economic Research, academic experts, practitioners and graduates collaborated to pool their knowledge of SMEs and experiences in the field of entrepreneurship. Therefore, we are

---

extremely grateful to our authors and participants. We are very pleased to have worked with them. In addition we wish to express our thanks to Helga Meyer, HSB liaison professor for the ETSU, and Stephan Form, HSB Vice Dean, for their encouragement and commitment and their dedication. We are particularly grateful to HSB Dean Peter Laudi for his financial support and assistance and his dedication to the promotion of international business education.

As always, many thanks to all the people at Kellner Publishing House for their efforts and support, especially Manuel Dotzauer and Klaus Kellner.

*Reinhold Roth*

*Jon L. Smith*

*Bremen / Johnson City 2017*

# Table of Contents

Acknowledgements .....	3
Introduction .....	8
<b><i>Part I: Small is not only beautiful, it really matters</i></b> .....	<b>12</b>
<hr/>	
<b><i>Jon L. Smith: SMES – An Economic Overview</i></b> .....	<b>12</b>
1 Introduction .....	13
2 The Place of SMEs in National Economies .....	15
3 Economics of SMEs .....	20
4 Motivations for SME internationalize .....	23
Bibliography .....	27
<b><i>Victor Kimmel: A Strategic Roadmap for SME Internationalization</i></b> .....	<b>31</b>
1 Introduction .....	32
2 Reasons and conditions for going international .....	33
2.1 Motives to internationalize .....	33
2.2 Risks and Barriers of SME Internationalization .....	36
2.3 Entrepreneurial Orientation and Internationalization .....	41
3 Finding and exploring the right Market .....	44
3.1 Market Entry Selection and Timing .....	44
3.2 Market Entry Modes .....	45
4 Internationalization Approaches .....	52
4.1 Network-based Internationalization Approach .....	53
4.2 The Revised Uppsala Model .....	56
4.3 Dunning's OLJ Framework .....	60
4.4 Family – owned Businesses and Internationalization .....	62
5 Tools for Strategic Planning .....	65
5.1 External Environment – PESTLE Analysis .....	65
5.2 SWOT Analysis .....	67
5.3 The Source of Competitive Advantage .....	69
5.4 Scheduling the Internationalization Process – Gantt Chart .....	71
6 Networking and Internationalization .....	74
7 Standardization vs. Adaption .....	78
8 Monitoring the Internationalization Process .....	80
9 Exit Strategies .....	86
Bibliography .....	88

***Part II: Taking SME International – The Tennessee Experience*** 94

---

***Victor Kimmel: The Internationalization Process of a German SME entering the U.S Market*** 94

---

1 Introduction.....	95
2 Case Design and Methodology.....	96
3 Empirical Findings.....	99
4 Lessons Learned.....	104
Bibliography.....	105
Appendix.....	106

***Alain Bridwell: Issues Facing European Union Firms Considering Entry into the USA – The Views of a Regional Economic Development Official*** 109

---

1 Introduction.....	110
2 Market Considerations.....	111
3 Resources and Infrastructure.....	113
4 Legal Considerations and Support.....	116
5 Business Culture and Lifestyle.....	118

***Audrey Depelteau: University Business Incubators as Support Mechanisms for SME Internationalization*** 120

---

1 Introduction.....	121
2 International Soft Landings Program.....	122
3 Soft Landings Program Results.....	125
4 University Assisted Internationalization Success Stories.....	126

***Part III: New Horizons*** 129

---

***Andrew J. Czuchry: Leading Innovation in small to Medium Sized (SME) Organizations*** 129

---

1 Introduction.....	130
2 Seven Coaching Points.....	132

3 Framework for Facilitating the Marketing of Technical Innovations.....	138
3.1 Conceptual Design.....	138
3.2 Study Setting.....	139
3.3 The Role of the Entrepreneurial Thought Process in the Strategic Make Buy Decision.....	143
4 Leadership and Managerial Implications.....	146
5 Conclusions.....	148
Bibliography.....	149
<b><i>David Roach / Emily van Dop: Issues Facing Born Global SMES – Tale of a Medtech Enterprise</i></b> .....	150
1 Introduction.....	151
2 The Born Global Firm.....	152
2.1 Networking.....	152
2.2 Knowledge.....	154
2.3 Technology Development.....	157
2.4 Niche Markets.....	159
3 A Tale of a Born Global SME.....	161
4 Conclusions.....	166
Bibliography.....	172
<b><i>Michael Lehrfeld: Mitigate the Cybersecurity Risks</i></b> .....	175
1 Introduction.....	176
2 Securing the Traveler.....	177
3 Boiling it down to the basics.....	186
About the Editors.....	188
About the Authors.....	192



## Introduction

A few years ago, a medium sized German manufacturing firm began to explore the possibility of expanding its operations to the United States' market. The firm's management team had concluded that the expansion of their operations held the potential for not only increasing sales volumes but to also mitigate the risks associated with domestic market fluctuations. However, while the firm had been quite successful in developing their domestic market in terms of supply chain and distribution networks, there was a lack of internal managerial expertise with respect to the activities required to establish an international presence. The expense and time required to locate and evaluate potential sites suitable for the establishment of a subsidiary and to develop the necessary personnel resources and marketing and distribution networks seemed to be an insurmountable barrier to internationalization.

Coincidentally, a U.S. regional economic development agency tasked with industrial recruitment was exploring the possibility of reaching out to small and medium sized manufacturing firms in the European Union. The agency, the Northeast Tennessee Valley Regional Industrial Development Association or NETVRIDA, is funded by eleven power distributors with service areas in Northeast Tennessee and Southwest Virginia and has close ties with the Tennessee Valley Authority (TVA). NETVRIDA had been promoting and marketing business locations in the 13-county region of the Northeastern Tennessee and Southwest Virginia for over twenty years and had developed a reputation for successfully matching manufacturing firms with superior industrial sites.

After considerable research, the NETVRIDA's executive director determined that small and medium sized companies that make up Germany's *Mittelstand* represented a market segment that would be receptive to the competitive advantages offered by expansion into the U.S. and locating in the strategically situated Northeast Tennessee/Southwest Virginia region. Much like the German manufacturing firm, the NETVRIDA was faced with limited in-house expertise and financial constraints. There were however a number of existing resources within the region. There was another German manufacturing firm that had been successful in locating in Eastern Tennessee some years before and whose management was eager to assist in these efforts. The TVA had a longstanding relationship with a German consultant residing in Germany and the local university had a long standing academic exchange relationship with the Hochschule Bremen City University of Applied Sciences and routinely recruited graduate assistants from Germany for their MBA program.

Eventually, representatives from the manufacturing firm met with the executive director of the NETVRIDA and began working together. After several months, the firm hired a graduate of the university's German language program

---

to serve as a local representative and established a presence in the business incubator owned by the university. Within three years, the firm had purchased a building and had begun to develop plans for locating a manufacturing facility in Northeast Tennessee.

This successful example of the internationalization of a Mittelstand or Small to Medium Sized Enterprise (SME as they are known in the United States) is an example of a growing awareness of the opportunities for even the smallest of firms to engage in international commerce through exporting, importing or foreign direct investment. The revolution in telecommunications has drastically reduced the cost of transporting material goods, sharing information and providing a variety of services across geographic space. There is also an awareness that domestic policies supporting the SME's expansion into international markets can contribute to the stability of domestic economies and promote product innovation. Yet, many SME owners and some local decision makers are unaware of the opportunities for their engagement in the international marketplace.

The objective of this volume is to aid SMEs in their efforts to internationalize. Providing theoretical management expertise and practical insights – from experiences made in East Tennessee – may help SMEs to understand some of the opportunities and obstacles that those wishing to enter the global market place will face.

The following chapters are structured in three parts:

In part I, Jon L. Smith is analyzing the place that SMEs occupy in domestic economies and the basic dynamics of SME economics. Victor Kimmel is describing the main business models, methods and tools that SMEs have or will encounter in their efforts to internationalize.

Part two is focused on issues that SMEs may typically deal with: managerial challenges, financial risks, legal regulations and the possibility of using incubation facilities to gradually enter international markets. Victor Kimmel is presenting a case study detailing the experience and lessons learned by a German firm that has successfully internationalized by establishing a subsidiary in East Tennessee, USA. Alan Bridwell gives practical advice from his many years of experience as a leader in regional economic development, including incentives and services offered by the Northeast Tennessee Valley Regional Industrial Development Association (NETVRIDA). Audrey Depelteau is explaining resources, functions and services of the Innovation Lab, an ETSU affiliated operated business incubator. She is also demonstrating the benefits of the Soft Landings programs for two SMEs that have been in transition in entering the U.S. market.

Last, part III is departing from familiar islands to current and future challenges for SMEs. Andrew J. Czuchry is investigating the advantage of coaching points and guidelines for successful leadership in small to medium sized engi-

neering firms, how to market technical innovations and implement evolutionary change into the DNA of an business organization. David Roach and Emily van Dop are introducing a type of SME that has been evolving in a business setting driven by digitization, connectivity, new knowledge creation and globalization. They explain a new paradigm: the Born Global SME. Based on a case of a Canadian corporation in the medical device industry, they set out distinctive patterns, managerial skills and strategies of a born Global SME. Michael Lehrfeld will outline simple but actionable steps that any company can use to increase their corporate security posture as they expand into the global marketplace.

Overall, the issues and perspectives in this book are an effort by academics and practitioners to assemble a body of practical information that will acquaint entrepreneurs and SME managers with economic justifications for pursuing a strategy for internationalizing their operations and will provide practical insights gleaned from the experiences of other firms that have extended their operations across international boundaries. Although it is not intended to be a “how to” manual, it will provide useful information for SMEs wishing to begin a strategic planning process that is a necessary precursor for successful internationalization.

*Reinhold Roth*  
*Jon L. Smith*  
*Bremen/Johnson City, 2017*



---

**Part I:**  
**Small is not only beautiful, it really matters**

**Jon L. Smith**

**SMES – An Economic Overview**

# 1 Introduction

When discussing macroeconomic policies, there has been a tendency for policy makers to concentrate upon businesses what are considered “major players” in the national or international context. Likewise, the focus of much economic research has been upon the role of “major industries” when examining questions of productivity, job growth and contributions to the growth of GDP. Small to medium sized enterprises (SMEs) are typically mentioned only in passing, if at all. Indeed, the small business sector has been described as “... what a cynic might define as those businesses that economists hardly ever study” (Bruce, 1989). This lack of interest in SME economics may be attributed to the assumption among some economists that, given the existence of scale economies, only large firms had the capacity to be “efficient” (Galbraith, 1956, 1967). The tendency to concentrate upon large enterprises has also often justified in terms of practicality. The extremely heterogeneous composition of the small business sector simply made it too difficult to draw empirical generalizations, the grist for academic journal articles. (Julian, 1993)

Today however, there is an increasing awareness of the importance of the place that SMEs occupy in the overall business landscape and a growing awareness of their importance not only to regional economies, but to national economies as well. SMEs are increasingly the focus of academic research and have come to be viewed as one of the cornerstones of entrepreneurial development and job creation.

The work of Joseph Schumpeter is frequently cited as a theoretical touchstone for the importance of SMEs. Schumpeter felt that a foundation of capitalism was innovation, “The sweeping out of old products, old enterprises, and old organizational forms by new ones.” (Schumpeter, 1942) He referred to this process as “creative destruction” where firms that constantly innovate and develop new products and processes replace those older firms that don’t. Schumpeter felt that although innovation tended to be an activity undertaken by very large firms, there was an inherent tendency for large enterprises to become increasingly bureaucratized, a development which eventually stifles innovation and lead to declines in their competitive advantages.

Support for this position can be drawn from indications that the correlation between the number of new patent filings and the rate of product and production innovation tends to be quite low among larger firms and much

higher among smaller firms (Acs and Audretsch, 1988). While both small and large firms give rise to significant innovations, it has been suggested that the absence of an entrenched bureaucracy and the fact that SMEs face more competitive markets provide stronger incentives for owners innovate. (Edmiston, 2007)

This view is supported by a number of studies found that although *industries* in which large firms appeared to be more dominant had higher levels of innovative activities, those innovative activities were actually most likely to occur in smaller *firms* in those industries. That is, smaller *firms* were the innovators in the “more innovative” *industries* suggesting that these smaller firms are the true instruments of creative destruction. (Acs, 1997) Given that in terms of overall numbers of firms, SMEs make up the majority of businesses enterprises in all national economies, this is important conclusion.

Not only are the numbers of SMEs significantly greater than the numbers of large firms, the number of their employees represents a significant proportion of the total labor force. Over one-half of all employees in the United States and almost two-third of those in the EU are employed by small to medium sized firms. There is a considerable body of research showing that these smaller firms are not only important sources of innovation, they also tend to provide some stability during economic downturns in that they are slower to shed jobs than larger firms. Within the European Union and the United States there has been a rising awareness of the importance of SMEs to both the European and U.S. economies as is evidenced by the EU’s adoption of the Small Business Act in 2008 and resources devoted to the U.S. Small Business Administration in the U.S.